## A Simple Multistep Conversion of 1,2-Dihydro-1,1-dimethoxynaphthalen-2-ones to (3,4-Dihydro-3,4-dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetates

by Kerstin Schmidt and Paul Margaretha\*

Chemistry Department, University of Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King Platz 6, D-20146 Hamburg (phone:  $+4940428384316$ ; fax:  $+4940428385592$ ; e-mail: Paul.Margaretha@chemie.uni-hamburg.de)

Dedicated to Professor Chun-Chen Liao (NTHU, Hsinchu, Taiwan) on the occasion of his 65th birthday

On irradiation  $(\lambda = 350 \text{ nm})$  in the presence of 1,1-dimethoxyethene, naphthalene-1,2-dionemonoacetals 1 regioselectively afford 1,1,4,4-tetramethoxycyclobuta[a]naphthalen-3-ones 3. Sequential deprotection of these bis-acetals first lead to 1,1-dimethoxycyclobuta[a]naphthalene-3,4-diones 4 and then to cyclobuta[ $a$ ]naphthalene-1,3,4-triones 6, which, in turn, are converted into  $(3,4$ -dihydro-3,4dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetates 7 by treatment with  $SiO<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/air$ .

Introduction. – Several 3-alkylnaphthalene-1,2-diones, e.g., mansonone D, hibiscoquinones  $A - D$ , azanzone A, or saprortoquinone, have been isolated from plants. As direct C,C-linkages at C(3) of a naphthalene-1,2-dione are difficult to achieve, the synthesis of such compounds most often involves the introduction of the alkyl group at  $C(3)$  on a (reduced) precursor, usually either a  $\alpha$ -tetralone, a naphthalen-1-ol, or a naphthalen-2-ol, followed by oxidation with, e.g.,  $\text{SeO}_2$ , Fremy's salt or Ce<sup>IV</sup> salts [1]. In contrast, naphthalene-1,2-diols undergo oxidation to naphthalene-1,2-diones already by exposure to air [2], but here again alkylation at  $C(3)$  of the parent naphthalene-1,2diol is unprecedented. We have recently reported that naphthalene-1,2-dione monoacetals 1 undergo efficient  $[2+2]$  photocyclodimerization to dibenzobiphenylenediones, which can then be deprotected to afford the corresponding photocyclodimers of naphthalene-1,2-dione itself [3]. Here, we report that compounds 1 undergo regioselective  $[2+2]$  photocycloaddition to 1,1-dimethoxyethene, and that the resulting photocycloadducts can be converted into 3-[(methoxycarbonyl)alkyl]naphthalene-1,2-diones under very mild reaction conditions.

Results. – Irradiation of 1,1-dimethoxy-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-2-one (1a) in the presence of a tenfold molar excess of 1,1-dimethoxyethene (2) regioselectively affords  $[2+2]$  photocycloadduct **3a**. On contact for 1 h with slightly acidified SiO<sub>2</sub> [4], the acetal function at the six-membered ring of 3a is selectively deprotected to afford monoacetal  $4a$ , with only minor amounts  $(5-10\% \text{ rel.})$  of the isomeric monoacetal 5a being detectable. Whereas the latter (mono)acetal is not hydrolyzed further, 4a, on prolongued stirring  $(8 h)$ , is quantitatively converted to trione 6a. Finally, 6a, upon stirring over, now neutral,  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  in the presence of MeOH and air, is converted to

 $\odot$  2008 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

methyl (3,4-dihydro-3,4-dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetate (7a). The now 1:10 mixture  $5a/$ 7a is then easily separated by chromatography, with the overall yield of 7a from 1a being 42%. Similarly, 1b or 1c can be transformed to 7b and 7c in 41 and 35% overall yield, respectively (Scheme 1). Finally, ethyl ester 8a is obtained in similar yields from 6a by replacing MeOH by EtOH in the second (alcoholysis) step.



Discussion. – The regioselective formation of 3 from triplet-excited 1 and (ground state) 2 is typical for cyclohex-2-enones, in general [5], and for naphthalen-2-ones, in particular [6]. In contrast, the chemoselective  $SiO<sub>2</sub>/H<sup>+</sup>$  deprotection of the acetal function in the six-membered ring (as compared to that on the cyclobutane ring) in photoadducts 3 is surprising, as this acetal group, both in the photocyclodimers of 1 and also in compounds 5, is stable under these reaction conditions. A synergistic effect between the two acetal functions in compounds 3 could be the reason for this chemoselectivity. The subsequent – slower – conversion of 1,1-dimethoxycyclobutanes 4 to triones 6 by this same reagent is again typical for such cycloadducts of cyclohexenones to 2 [5]. Whereas simple cyclobutanones usually only react with

alcohols to give open-chain esters in the presence of rhodium(I)-phosphine catalysts, ring opening and ester formation promoted by acids have been reported for some cyclobutanones bearing substituents like phenyl or acyl, which apparently facilitate the – first – ring-opening step [7]. It can thus be assumed that triones 6 undergo ring opening and alcoholysis by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>/alcohol$  to afford the dihydro precursor 9, which is then readily oxidized to **7** or **8** on contact with air (*Scheme 2*). In conclusion, we have developed an attractive simple method for the synthesis of esters 7 or 8, which only employs light as energy source,  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  as acid catalyst, and air as oxidant.



## Experimental Part

1. General. Dione acetals 1 were synthesized from the corresponding commercially available naphthalen-2-ols according to [8]. 1,1-Dimethoxyethene (2) was generously provided by Wacker Chemie AG (Munich).

Photolyses were conducted in a Rayonet RPR-100 photoreactor equipped with (16) 350-nm lamps and solvents of spectrophotometric grade. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel 60 (Merck; 230 – 400 mesh). <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra (including 2D plots): *Bruker WM-500* instrument at 500.13 and 125.8 MHz, resp., in CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz.

2. Photolyses. Ar-Degassed solns. of  $1$  (1 mmol) and  $2$  (880 mg, 10 mmol) in benzene (5 ml) were irradiated for 18 h up to a total conversion of 1. After evaporation of the solvent and excess alkene, the crude bis-acetal 3 can either be purified by recrystallization from hexane or directly converted further  $(cf)$ below).

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,1,4,4-tetramethoxy-2aH,8bH-cyclobuta[a]naphthalen-3-one (3a). M.p. 101 –  $104^{\circ}$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.68 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(5)); 7.27 (m, H – C(6), H – C(7), H – C(8)); 4.27 (d, J = 10.1,  $H-C(8b)$ ); 3.52 (s, Me); 3.50 (ddd, J = 5.8, 9.9, 10.1,  $H-C(2a)$ ); 3.37, 3.02, 2.96 (3s, 3 Me); 2.58 (dd, J = 5.8, 12.8,  $H_{endo} - C(2)$ ); 2.28 (dd, J = 9.9, 12.8,  $H_{exo} - C(2)$ ). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 206.0 (s, C(3)); 135.5 (s, C(4a)); 133.5 (s, C(8a)); 129.4 (d, C(7)); 128.4 (d, C(6)); 127.1 (d, C(5)); 126.1 (d, C(8)); 102.0 (s, C(1)); 99.0 (s,  $C(4)$ ); 51.2 (d,  $C(8b)$ ); 51.1, 50.2, 50.1, 49.3 (q); 34.5 (d,  $C(2a)$ ); 31.7 (t,  $C(2)$ ).

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,1,4,4,6-pentamethoxy-2aH,8bH-cyclobuta[a]naphthalen-3-one (3b). M.p. 46 –  $49^\circ$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.22 (m, H-C(5), H-C(8)); 6.90 (d, J = 8.2, H-C(7)); 4.20 (d, J = 10.0, H-C(8b)); 3.81, 3.52 (2 s, 2 Me); 3.45 (ddd, J = 5.9, 9.9, 10.0, H – C(2a)); 3.35, 3.01, 2.97 (3s, 3 Me); 2.53 (dd, J = 5.9,  $12.5$ , H<sub>endo</sub>-C(2)); 2.27 (dd, J = 9.9, 12.5, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(2)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 206.0 (s, C(3)); 159.2 (s, C(6)); 138.1 (s,  $C(4a)$ ); 130.8 (d,  $C(8)$ ); 126.5 (s,  $C(8a)$ ); 115.3 (d,  $C(7)$ ); 111.1 (d,  $C(5)$ ); 102.0 (s,  $C(1)$ ); 99.0 (s,  $C(4)$ ); 54.9 (q); 50.8 (d, C(8b)); 50.5, 50.2, 49.8, 49.3 (q); 34.0 (d, C(2a)); 30.9 (t, C(2)).

7-Bromo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4-tetramethoxy-2aH,8bH-cyclobuta[a]naphthalen-3-one (3c). M.p. 77 – 81°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.56 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(5)); 7.44 (s, H – C(8)); 7.41 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(6)); 4.20 (d, J = 10.0, H – C(8b)); 3.50 (s, Me); 3.46 (ddd, J = 5.8, 9.9, 10.0, H – C(2a)); 3.35, 3.05, 2.95 (3s, 3 Me); 2.52  $(dd, J=5.8, 12.8, H_{endo}-C(2))$ ; 2.30  $(dd, J=9.9, 12.8, H_{exo}-C(2))$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 205.8 (s, C(3)); 135.9 (s,  $C(4a)$ ; 135.5 (s,  $C(8a)$ ; 133.0 (s,  $C(7)$ ); 132.0 (d,  $C(8)$ ); 129.1 (d,  $C(6)$ ); 128.1 (d,  $C(5)$ ); 102.0 (s,  $C(1)$ ); 99.0 (s, C(4)); 50.9 (d, C(8b)); 50.8, 50.2, 50.1, 49.3 (4q); 34.1 (d, C(2a)); 32.1 (t, C(2)).

3. Stepwise Deprotection of 3a. To a suspension of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (150 mg) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 ml) was added 10% aq. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (15 µ), and the mixture was stirred for 5 min. Then, a soln. of **3a** (14.6 mg, 5.10<sup>-5</sup> mol) in

 $CH_2Cl_2$  (1 ml) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 50 min. After filtration of the SiO<sub>2</sub> and evaporation of the solvent, the semi-solid residue was triturated with Et<sub>2</sub>O (1 ml). The now solid residue  $(10.5 \text{ mg})$  contained a 12:1 mixture  $4a/5a$  (from <sup>1</sup>H-NMR).

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,1-dimethoxy-2aH,8bH-cyclobuta[a]naphthalene-3,4-dione (4a). <sup>1</sup> H-NMR: 8.14  $(d, J = 8.2, H - C(5))$ ; 7.62  $(t, J = 8.2, H - C(7))$ ; 7.45  $(m, H - C(6), H - C(8))$ ; 4.07  $(d, J = 8.2, H - C(8b))$ ; 3.28 (s, Me); 3.26 (ddd, J = 2.2, 8.2, 9.8, H – C(2a)); 2.91 (s, Me); 2.59 (dd, J = 2.2, 12.0, H<sub>endo</sub> – C(2)); 2.57  $(dd, J=9.8, 12.0, H_{exo}-C(2))$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 197.2 (s, C(3)); 181.1 (s, C(4)); 141.1 (s, C(8a)); 137.2 (s, C(4a)); 134.1 (d, C(7)); 130.1 (d, C(5)); 129.2 (d, C(6)); 128.3 (d, C(8)); 102.1 (s, C(1)); 50.3, 50.2(2q); 50.1 (d,  $C(8b)$ ; 49.1 (d,  $C(2a)$ ); 37.1 (t,  $C(2)$ ).

When the same reaction was run for 8 h and worked up as before, a 10:1 mixture  $(7.9 \text{ mg})$  6a/5a was obtained.

2a,8b-Dihydrocyclobuta[a]naphthalene-1,3,4(2H)-trione (6a). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.15 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(5)); 7.75 (t, J = 8.2, H – C(7)); 7.50 (t, J = 8.2, H – C(6)); 7.48 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(8)); 4.97 (ddd, J = 2.8, 3.1, 9.0,  $H-C(8b)$ ); 3.83 (ddd, J = 6.3, 9.0, 11.0,  $H-C(2a)$ ); 3.77 (ddd, J = 3.1, 11.0, 18.3,  $H_{\text{grav}}-C(2)$ ); 3.51 (ddd,  $J = 2.8, 6.3, 18.3, H_{endo} - C(2))$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 202.1 (s, C(1)); 197.1 (s, C(3)); 180.9 (s, C(4)); 137.1 (s, C(8a)); 137.0 (d, C(7)); 136.5 (s, C(4a)); 131.1 (d, C(5)); 130.2 (d, C(8)); 130.1 (d, C(6)); 64.1 (d, C(8b)); 50.1 (t,  $C(2)$ ); 36.4  $(d, C(2a))$ .

4. One-Step Conversion of 1 into 7 (and 5). The crude bis-acetal 3 obtained by irradiation of 1 (1 mmol; cf. above) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) was added to a suspension of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (4.0 g) and 10% aq. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.4 ml) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml), and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 9 h. After filtration from the SiO<sub>2</sub>, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was redissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 ml) containing SiO<sub>2</sub> (1.5 g) and MeOH (1.5 ml), and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 20 h. After filtration from  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by CC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 99:1) to afford as first fraction traces of 5 and thereafter methyl ester 7 as main product. 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-4,4-dimethoxy-2aH,8bH-cyclobuta[a]naphthalene-1,3-diones 5, which eluted first, were obtained as light yellow semisolid oils in quantities between 5 and 8 mg  $(2-3)$ % overall yield) and characterized by NMR (signals for aromatic H- and Catoms omitted).

Data of **5a**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 4.50 (d, J = 9.9, H – C(8b)); 3.65 (ddd, J = 6.5, 9.9, 10.0, H – C(2a)); 3.37 (dd,  $J = 9.9, 18.2, H_{grav} - C(2)$ ; 3.36 (dd,  $J = 6.5, 18.2, H_{sub} - C(2)$ ); 3.35, 3.19 (2s, 2 Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 203.1 (s,  $C(1)$ ; 202.9 (s,  $C(3)$ ); 99.1 (s,  $C(4)$ ); 65.5 (d,  $C(8b)$ ); 50.8, 50.2 (2q); 47.1 (t,  $C(2)$ ); 33.5 (d,  $C(2a)$ ).

Data of 5b: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 4.28 (d, J = 9.9, H – C(8b)); 3.81 (s, Me); 3.62 (ddd, J = 6.5, 9.9, 10.0, H – C(2a)); 3.37 (dd, J = 9.9, 18.2, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(2)); 3.36 (dd, J = 6.5, 18.2, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(2)); 3.35, 3.19 (2s, 2 Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 203.1 (s, C(1)); 202.9 (s, C(3)); 99.1 (s, C(4)); 65.5 (d, C(8b)); 50.8, 50.2 (2q); 47.1 (t, C(2)); 33.5 (d, C(2a)).

Data of  $\text{5c}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 4.82 (d, J = 9.8, H – C(8b)); 3.68 (ddd, J = 6.5, 9.9, 10.0, H – C(2a)); 3 3.39 (dd,  $J=9.9, 18.2, H_{exo}-C(2))$ ; 3.36 (dd,  $J=6.5, 18.2, H_{endo}-C(2))$ ; 3.34, 3.17 (s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 202.9 (s,  $C(1)$ ; 202.1 (s, C(3)); 99.1 (s, C(4)); 64.6 (d, C(8b)); 50.8, 50.2 (2q); 47.1 (t, C(2)); 33.5 (d, C(2a)).

Methyl (3,4-Dihydro-3,4-dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetate (7a). Orange solid (97 mg, 42%). M.p. 128 – 130°. UV (MeCN):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  410 nm. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.08 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(5)); 7.64 (t, J = 8.2, H – C(7)); 7.48 (t,  $J = 8.2$ , H – C(6)); 7.38 (s, H – C(1)); 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.2$ , H – C(8)); 3.72 (s, Me); 3.49 (s, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 181.0  $(s, C(3))$ ; 179.1  $(s, C(4))$ ; 171.1  $(s, CO_2)$ ; 144.1  $(d, C(1))$ ; 136.1  $(d, C(7))$ ; 135.1  $(s, C(4a))$ ; 134.3  $(s, C(8a))$ ; 133.2 (s, C(2)); 130.7 (d, C(5)); 130.6 (d, C(6)); 129.2 (d, C(8)); 52.2 (q); 34.1 (t).

Methyl (3,4-Dihydro-6-methoxy-3,4-dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetate (7b). Dark red solid (107 mg, 41%). M.p. 147 – 149°. UV (MeCN):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  471 nm. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.58 (d, J = 1.5, H – C(5)); 7.31 (s, H – C(1)); 7.23 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(8)); 7.12 (dd, J = 1.5, 8.2, H – C(7)); 3.90, 3.72 (2s, 2 Me); 3.44 (s, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 180.5 (s, C(3)); 179.2 (s, C(4)); 170.2 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>); 161.2 (s, C(6)); 144.1 (d, C(1)); 133.1 (s, C(4a)); 131.5 (d,  $C(8)$ ; 131.1 (s,  $C(2)$ ); 128.1 (s,  $C(8a)$ ); 121.5 (d,  $C(7)$ ); 114.8 (d,  $C(5)$ ); 55.3, 52.1 (2q); 34.8 (t).

Methyl 7-Bromo-(3,4-dihydro-3,4-dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetate  $(7c)$ . Dark yellow solid (108 mg, 35%). M.p. 135–138°. UV (MeCN):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  401 nm. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.94 (d,  $J = 8.2$ , H-C(5)); 7.63 (d,  $J = 8.2$ ,  $H-C(6)$ ; 7.51 (s,  $H-C(8)$ ); 7.32 (s,  $H-C(1)$ ); 3.73 (s, Me); 3.48 (s, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 180.1 (s, C(3)); 178.7  $(s, C(4))$ ; 171.1  $(s, CO_2)$ ; 142.1  $(d, C(1))$ ; 136.0  $(s, C(4a))$ ; 134.5  $(s, C(2))$ ; 133.1  $(d, C(6))$ ; 132.1  $(d, C(8))$ ; 131.8 (d, C(5)); 131.1 (s, C(8a)); 129.5 (s, C(7)); 51.5 (q); 33.5 (t).

5. One-Step Conversion of 1a to 8a. As described above, but replacing MeOH by EtOH both in the alcoholysis step and in the eluent mixture. CC afforded first  $5a$  (6 mg), and then *ethyl* (3,4-dihydro-3,4dioxonaphthalen-2-yl)acetate (8a; 92 mg, 39%). Orange solid. M.p.  $81-83^{\circ}$  (80-81° according to [9]). UV (MeCN):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  411 nm. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.08 (d, J = 8.2, H – C(5)); 7.64 (t, J = 8.2, H – C(7)); 7.48 (t, J = 8.2,  $H-C(6)$ ; 7.38 (s,  $H-C(1)$ ); 7.32 (d,  $J=8.2$ ,  $H-C(8)$ ); 4.23 (q, 2 H); 3.48 (s, 2 H); 1.29 (t, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 181.0 (s, C(3)); 179.1 (s, C(4)); 171.1 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>); 144.1 (d, C(1)); 136.1 (d, C(7)); 135.1 (s, C(4a)); 134.3 (s, C(8a)); 133.2(s, C(2)); 130.7 (d, C(5)); 130.6 (d, C(6)); 129.2 (d, C(8)); 62.1 (t); 34.1 (t); 14.5  $(q).$ 

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. C. Liao, R. K. Peddinti, in 'Science of Synthesis', Vol. 28, Ed. A. G. Griesbeck, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 2006, p. 323.
- [2] K. Iwaya, M. Nakamura, E. Hasegawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5067.
- [3] K. Schmidt, J. Kopf, P. Margaretha, Helv. Chim. Acta 2007, 90, 1667.
- [4] F. Huet, A. Lechevallier, M. Pellet, J. Conia, Synthesis 1978, 63.
- [5] K. Schmidt, P. Margaretha, Arkivoc 2008 (viii), 68.
- [6] H. J. Liu, P. C. L. Yao, Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 822.
- [7] T. Matsuda, M. Shigeno, Y. Maruyama, M. Murakami, Chem. Lett. 2007, 744.
- [8] D. Mal, H. N. Roy, N. K. Hazra, S. Adhikari, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 2177.
- [9] A. Takuwa, H. Iwamoto, Y. Nishigaichi, K. Yamanaka, Synthesis 1993, 315.

Received April 23, 2008